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Chrome plating and nitriding have been used to improve the durability and wear resistance of stamping dies.

- **Chrome plating**
  - is a thin hard coating
  - is good for low load wear resistance
  - can lower the coefficient of friction
  - is not good for high load wear resistance and subject to peeling, chipping, deformation and wear, spalling, etc.

- **Nitriding**
  - produces a hard iron-nitride layer for improved wear resistance.
  - not as good as chrome plating for low load wear resistance
  - Better than chrome plating for high load wear resistance where the thicker nitride layer can better absorb vertical and tangential (sliding) loads
Project Hypothesis:
Adding a nitride layer between the chrome plating and the substrate die material can improve sliding-impact wear performance by combining the lower friction of the chrome coating with improved load support of the nitride coating.

The combination of chrome plating over nitriding is called ... **Duplex Chroming**
Test Set-up

- A = Duplex Chrome: Thick chrome layer over nitrided substrate with a white layer
- B = Duplex Chrome: Thin chrome layer over nitrided substrate without a white layer
- C = Thin chrome plate over a relatively soft substrate
- D = Thin chrome plate over hardened substrate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Cr thickness (um)</th>
<th>Substrate 0050A initial hardness (HRC)</th>
<th>Heat treatment</th>
<th>Post-treatment</th>
<th>Nitrided substrate hardness, before coating (HRC)</th>
<th>Coating hardness (HRC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Ion nitriding (Sun Steel)</td>
<td>white layer = 0.0002 -0.0004 in.</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Ion nitriding (Teikuro)</td>
<td>No white layer Diffusion Layer = 0.008-0.015 in.</td>
<td>45 - 47</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Flame hardening</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sample Matrix

White Layer
- Diffusion Zone
  - Core Material
  - TYPE A

Chrome Layer
- Diffusion Zone
  - Core Material
  - TYPE B
- Core Material
  - TYPE C
- Flame Hardened Layer
  - Core Material
  - TYPE D
The Inclined Sliding Wear Test Method

Die wear during the stamping operation is due to stretching motion of the steel sheet over the surface of the die: - sliding with inclined contact

- Dies Steel Substrates: S0050A
- Testing Load Condition: 30 N – 160 N contact mode
- Test Cycles: 150, 300 and 500 cycles
- Counterface Materials: SAE 52100 steel balls
- Steel Ball Size: 10mm in Diameter
- Length of Wear Tracks: 3 - 6mm
Test Method
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Illustration of failure mechanisms

1 - Chipping
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3 - Material transfer

Partially exposure of the bonding layer and substrate
Test Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample A</th>
<th>150 cycles</th>
<th>300 cycles</th>
<th>500 cycles</th>
<th>3000 cycles (200 N / 400 N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>![Image](Sample A 150 cycles)</td>
<td>![Image](Sample A 300 cycles)</td>
<td>![Image](Sample A 500 cycles)</td>
<td>![Image](Sample A 3000 cycles)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Sample B | ![Image](Sample B 150 cycles) | ![Image](Sample B 300 cycles) | ![Image](Sample B 500 cycles) | ![Image](Sample B 3000 cycles) |

| Sample C | ![Image](Sample C 150 cycles) | ![Image](Sample C 300 cycles) | ![Image](Sample C 500 cycles) | ![Image](Sample C 3000 cycles) |

| Sample D | ![Image](Sample D 150 cycles) | ![Image](Sample D 300 cycles) | ![Image](Sample D 500 cycles) | ![Image](Sample D 3000 cycles) |
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A = Duplex Chrome: Thick chrome layer over nitrided substrate with a white layer
300 Cycles Sample A
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A = Duplex Chrome: Thick chrome layer over nitrided substrate with a white layer
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B = Duplex Chrome: Thin chrome layer over nitrided substrate without a white layer
Failure Mechanisms
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B = Duplex Chrome: Thin chrome layer over nitrided substrate without a white layer

Only cracking, chipping and material transfer
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C = Thin chrome plate over a relatively soft substrate
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C = Thin chrome plate over a relatively soft substrate
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D = Thin chrome plate over hardened substrate
Fracture Mechanisms

300 Cycles Sample D
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D = Thin chrome plate over hardened substrate

Chipping, Peeling, Materials-transfer
The failure modes of all chrome plated samples included fatigue cracking, chipping, peeling, material transfer.

Most cracks started from the surface of the chrome coating and extended into the interface between coatings and steel substrates.

Sample A (Duplex Chrome: Thick chrome layer over nitrided substrate with a white layer)
- Appeared to also have cracks initiating from the interface between the coating and substrate, which may be due to the brittle white nitride layer.
- The chrome coating was worn out and the steel substrate was largely exposed at the head and middle regions of wear track.
Summary

• Sample B (Duplex Chrome: Thin chrome layer over nitrided substrate without a white layer)
  – Performed the best
  – Seemed to have only a chipping problem at the middle region of the coating’s wear track and the steel substrate was not exposed at any of the test cycles.

• Sample C (Thin chrome plate over a relatively soft substrate)
  – Showed large cracks and the coating peeled everywhere. The chrome coating seemed to have a good adhesion to the substrate but the relatively soft substrate was deformed (sunk in).
  – Long-term durability of this surface treatment appears problematic.
Summary

• Sample D (Thin chrome plate over hardened substrate)
  – Showed a large transfer of material at the middle and head regions of the wear track.
  – The steel substrate was locally exposed where the coating was worn off mainly by chipping and peeling.
Conclusions

• Duplex chrome surface treatments without a nitriding white layer can significantly increase the wear resistance and durability of stamping die steels

• The ranking of all the S0050A samples:
  - at 150 test cycles:  B ≥ A > C > D
  - at 300 test cycles:  B > D > C > A
  - at 500 test cycles:  B > D > C > A
  - at 3000 test cycles: B > D > C > A

A = Duplex Chrome: Thick chrome layer over nitrided substrate with a white layer
B = Duplex Chrome: Thin chrome layer over nitrided substrate without a white layer
C = Thin chrome plate over a relatively soft substrate
D = Thin chrome plate over hardened substrate
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Stamping Tooling Optimization Timeline

Goal: To strategically develop coatings and heat treatment technologies and suppliers through evaluation using Impact-Sliding Fatigue Wear Tester

2007-2008: CVD/PVD coatings on hardened tool steels - D2
- not so good due to lack of enough load support

2009-2011: Duplex PVD coatings plus nitriding on tool steels
- force hard coatings suppliers collaborating with heat treatment suppliers

2012-2014: Duplex PVD coatings (containing C or MoS\(_2\) for friction reduction) plus nitriding on steels
- good for DP 980 MPa AHSS as demonstrated in industrial stamping trial

2015-2016: Nitriding for cast iron and cast steels
- Evaluated plasma nitriding, gas nitriding, and fluidized-bed nitriding

2016-2017: Chrome for cast steels
- duplex chrome – Chrome on nitrided steels, vs. Chrome on hardened/unhardened steels

2016-2018: Duplex PVD coatings (with multilayers for increased fracture toughness) on tool steels
- 2 candidates are good for DP 1180 MPa AHSS shown in industrial stamping trial